

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEW MEXICO**

ANDREW GLEASON, Individually and on
Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated,

v.

MVCI ENERGY SERVICES, INC.; KELLY
McCLELLAN; GREG VICK; and CHUCK
BANKS.

CASE NO: 1:17-cv-204

COLLECTIVE ACTION (29 U.S.C. § 216(b))
CLASS ACTION (FED. R. CIV. P. 23)

CLASS AND COLLECTIVE ACTION COMPLAINT

SUMMARY

1. Andrew Gleason brings this lawsuit to recover unpaid overtime wages and other damages under the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. § 201, *et seq.* (“FLSA”) and the New Mexico Minimum Wage Act, NMSA § 50-4-19, *et seq.*, (“NMMWA”) from MVCI Energy Services, Inc., Greg Vick, Kelly McClellan, and Chuck Banks (collectively, “MVCI”).

2. Gleason and his coworkers were employed by MVCI in the oil field performing manual labor job duties surrounding the rigging up, operation, and rigging down of oil and gas equipment, such as manifolds, separators, flow iron, and other equipment used in well-testing- and flowback-related jobs.

3. MVCI required Gleason and the other Class Members to work substantial overtime without compensation.

4. Gleason and the other Class Members worked at least 12 hours a day for at least 7 days a week. As a result, they often worked weeks consisting of 84 hours or more.

5. MVCI paid Gleason and all Class Members a day-rate and other bonuses, regardless of the number of hours worked. Gleason and his coworkers never received overtime pay for work performed in excess of 40 hours in a week.

6. This class and collective action alleges that MVCI misclassified Gleason and all Class Members as exempt from the overtime requirements and seeks to recover the unpaid overtime wages, liquidated damages, attorney fees, and costs permitted by the FLSA and NMMWA.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

7. This Court has original subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 because this action involves a federal question under the FLSA. 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

8. The Court has supplemental jurisdiction over state law claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367.

9. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b).

10. Gleason and other Class Members perform work-related tasks for MVCI in this District.

11. MVCI has availed itself to the jurisdiction of this Court. MVCI maintains offices and business operations in this District, including in Farmington, New Mexico.

THE PARTIES

12. Andrew Gleason worked for MVCI as a Flowback Operator / Well Tester (“Operator”) beginning in 2014. MVCI paid Gleason through a day rate/job bonus system without overtime for his work as an Operator. Gleason never received overtime pay from MVCI. Gleason’s consent to be a party plaintiff is filed with the Court as Exhibit A.

13. Gleason represents at least two classes of similarly situated co-workers.

14. First, Gleason represents a class of similarly situated Operators under the FLSA pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b). The FLSA Class is defined as:

Operators employed by MVCI and working in the United States in the past three years who were paid a day rate and/or job bonuses.

15. Second, Gleason represents a class of similarly situated Operators under the NMMWA pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23. The NMMWA Class is defined as:

Operators employed by MVCI and working in or out of New Mexico in the past three years who were paid a day rate and/or job bonuses.

16. Collectively, the FLSA Class Members and NMMWA Class Members are referred to as “Class Members.”

17. **MVCI** may be served with process through its registered agent: **Kelly McClellan at 6316 Highpoint Drive, Farmington, NM 87402.**

18. **Kelly McClellan** may be served with process at **6316 Highpoint Drive, Farmington, NM 87402.**

19. **Greg Vick** may be served with process at **300 Sunrise Place, Farmington, NM 87401.**

20. **Chuck Banks** may be served with process at **3204 South Side River Road, Farmington, NM 87401.**

21. MVCI, McClellan, Vick, and Banks are joint employers for purposes of the FLSA. *See* 29 C.F.R. § 791.2.

COVERAGE UNDER THE FLSA

22. At all times hereinafter mentioned, MVCI has been an employer within the meaning of the Section 3(d) of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 203(d).

23. At all times hereinafter mentioned, MVCI has been part of an enterprise within the meaning of Section 3(r) of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 203(r).

24. At all times hereinafter mentioned, MVCI has been part of an enterprise engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce within the meaning of section 3(s)(1) of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 203(s)(1), in that said enterprise has and has had employees engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce, or employees handling, selling, or otherwise working on goods or materials that have been moved in or produced for commerce by any person and in that said enterprise has had and has an annual gross volume of sales made or business done of not less than

\$500,000 (exclusive of excise taxes at the retail level which are separately stated).

25. At all times hereinafter mentioned, Gleason and the Class Members were engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce.

FACTS

26. Based in New Mexico, MVCI is an oil and gas service company providing flowback and well testing services to clients throughout the United States.

27. To provide these services, MVCI employs numerous Operators who set up, operate, and break down MVCI's equipment in the oilfield.

28. Operators spend a majority of their time rigging up separators, connecting flow iron, installing manifolds, and building other oil and gas equipment.

29. Operators also manually manipulate the equipment they set up to regulate pressure in the well, control various flow rates, and separate various drilling byproducts such as oil, gas, water, or condensate.

30. When the job is complete, Operators rig down all the equipment they set up or were operating.

31. Operators may also test various parts of the well using hydraulic wrenches, pressure gauges, or torque wrenches.

32. The job duties Operators perform on a daily basis are significantly manual labor intensive.

33. Operators do not require advanced skill or intense study to be able to do the job in accordance with MVCI's policies or procedures.

34. Most Operators learn how to flowback or well test through on the job training.

35. Further, Operators do not perform high level decision making.

36. Operators do not hire, fire, or discipline other employees.

37. Operators do not exercise independent judgment or discretion in the way they perform their job. In fact, MVCI requires Operators to strictly comply with the its policies, procedures, and training.

38. Operators do not supervise two or more employees for a total of 80 or more hours a week.

39. With these job duties, Operators are clearly **non-exempt** under the FLSA and NMMWA.

40. However, MVCI does not treat Operators as **non-exempt**.

41. MVCI pays all Operators a day rate and job bonuses.

42. MVCI uniformly classifies all Operators as exempt from the overtime requirements of the FLSA and NMMWA.

43. Further, Operators work well in excess of 40 hours a week.

44. Operators generally are scheduled to work at least 12 hours a day, 7 days a week. This means Operators are working 84 hours per week, with 44 hours of overtime.

45. Operators are not paid overtime. MVCI only pays Operators a day rate and job bonuses, regardless of the number of hours worked.

46. While each Class Member's duties and compensation may vary slightly, their core job responsibilities remain the same.

47. Any differences are not material and do not detract from the common nucleus of facts as described herein.

48. As the controlling law makes clear, Gleason and the Class Members are non-exempt employees and entitled to overtime for all hours worked in excess of 40 in a single workweek.

FLSA AND NMMWA VIOLATIONS

49. As set forth herein, MVCI violated the FLSA and NMMWA by failing to pay Gleason

and the Class Members overtime for hours worked in excess of 40 in a workweek. 29 U.S.C. § 207(a); NMSA § 50-4-22.

50. MVCI knowingly, willfully, or in reckless disregard carried out this illegal pattern or practice of failing to pay Gleason and the Class Members overtime compensation. MVCI's failure to pay overtime compensation and intentional misclassification of these employees was neither reasonable, nor was the decision not to pay overtime made in good faith.

51. Accordingly, Gleason and the Class Members are entitled to overtime wages under the FLSA in an amount equal to 1.5 times their regular rates of pay, plus liquidated damages or double damages, attorney's fees, and costs. 29 U.S.C. § 216(b); NMSA § 50-4-26.

52. The improper pay practices at issue were part of a continuing course of conduct, entitling Gleason and the Class Members to recover for all such violations, regardless of the date they occurred.

CLASS AND COLLECTIVE ACTION ALLEGATIONS

53. As described above, Gleason and all Class Members have been victimized by MVCI's pattern, practice, and/or policy, which is in willful violation of the FLSA and NMMWA.

54. Many Class Members worked alongside Gleason and reported that they were paid in the same manner and were not properly compensated for all hours worked as required by the FLSA and NMMWA.

55. Many Class Members, regardless of the division or location in which they worked, performed similar job duties to Gleason.

56. Thus, MVCI imposed a uniform practice or policy on Gleason and all Class Members regardless of any individualized factors.

57. Gleason and all Class Members received a day rate and job bonuses, regularly worked in excess of 40 hours per week, and were not paid overtime compensation.

58. As described above, these Class Members are similarly situated to Gleason in terms of relevant job duties, pay provisions, and employment practices.

59. MVCI's failure to pay wages and overtime compensation at the rates required by the FLSA and NMMWA result from generally applicable, systematic policy and/or practice which are not dependent on the personal circumstances of any member of the Class Members.

60. Gleason's experiences are typical of the experiences of all Class Members.

61. Gleason has no interests contrary to, or in conflict with, the members of the FLSA Class Members and NMMWA Class Members. Like each member of the proposed Classes, Gleason has an interest in obtaining the unpaid overtime wages owed under state and/or federal law.

62. A class and collective action, such as the instant one, is superior to other available means for fair and efficient adjudication of the lawsuit.

63. Absent this action, many FLSA and NMMWA Class Members likely will not obtain redress of their injuries and MVCI will reap the unjust benefits of violating the FLSA and NMMWA.

64. Furthermore, even if some of the FLSA and NMMWA Class Members could afford individual litigation against MVCI, it would be unduly burdensome to the judicial system.

65. Concentrating the litigation in one forum will promote judicial economy and parity among the claims of individual members of the classes and provide for judicial consistency.

66. The questions of law and fact common to each of the FLSA and NMMWA Class Members predominate over any questions affecting solely the individual members. Among the common questions of law and fact are:

- (a) Whether MVCI employed the FLSA and NMMWA Class Members within the meaning of the FLSA and NMMWA;
- (b) Whether the FLSA and NMMWA Class Members were exempt from overtime;
- (c) Whether MVCI's decision to not pay overtime to the FLSA Class Members was made in good faith; and

(d) Whether MVCI's violation of the FLSA was willful.

67. Gleason's claims are typical of the FLSA and NMMWA Class Members claims. Gleason and the FLSA and NMMWA Class Members have sustained damages arising out of MVCI's illegal and uniform employment policy.

68. Gleason knows of no difficulty that will be encountered in the management of this litigation that would preclude its ability to go forward as a class or collective action.

69. Although the issue of damages may be somewhat individual in character, there is no detracting from the common nucleus of liability facts. Therefore, this issue does not preclude class or collective action treatment.

JURY DEMAND

70. Gleason demands a trial by jury.

RELIEF SOUGHT

71. WHEREFORE, Gleason prays for judgment against MVCI as follows:

- (a) For an order certifying class action(s) under Rule 23 for the purposes of the claims under New Mexico law;
- (b) For an order certifying this case as a collective action for the purposes of the FLSA claims;
- (c) For an order finding MVCI liable for violations of state and federal wage laws with respect to Gleason and all Class Members covered by this case;
- (d) For a judgment awarding all unpaid wages, liquidated damages, and/or penalty damages, to Gleason and all Class Members covered by this case;
- (e) For a judgment awarding Gleason and all Class Members covered by this case their costs of this action;
- (f) For a judgment awarding Gleason and all Class Members covered by this case their attorneys' fees;
- (g) For a judgment awarding Gleason and all Class Members covered by this case pre- and post-judgment interest at the highest rates allowed by law; and
- (h) For all such other and further relief as may be necessary and appropriate.

Respectfully Submitted,

BRUCKNER BURCH PLLC

/s/ Matthew S. Parmet

By: _____

Richard J. (Rex) Burch
Texas Bar No. 24001807
seeking admission pro hac vice
Matthew S. Parmet
D.N.M. Id. No. 16-155

8 Greenway Plaza, Suite 1500
Houston, Texas 77046
Telephone: (713) 877-8788
Telecopier: (713) 877-8065
rburch@brucknerburch.com
mparmet@brucknerburch.com

Michael A. Josephson
Texas Bar No. 24014780
seeking admission pro hac vice
Andrew W. Dunlap
Texas Bar No. 24078444
seeking admission pro hac vice
**FIBICH, LEEBRON, COPELAND,
BRIGGS & JOSEPHSON**

1150 Bissonnet
Houston, Texas 77005
Telephone: (713) 751-0025
Telecopier: (713) 751-0030
mjosephson@fibichlaw.com
adunlap@fibichlaw.com

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF